

**From:** Diana Mutimer <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 1, 2024 4:56 PM  
**To:** Medworth <Medworth@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Response to SoS's request for final submission

Dear Sir/Madam,

With reference to the Medworth incineration application, I wish to make two main points.

1) Alternative sites - the applicant still has not given any meaningful explanation of why Wisbech was chosen over other sites. At the earliest stage of the project we were told by the MD that they didn't bother to look at any alternative sites. Later on they came up with some spurious sites which had obviously been last minute thinking in order to meet the Planning Inspectorate examination.. Surely this contravenes the first principle of strategic infrastructure planning?

2) No customers for steam? There does not appear to be any further information from the applicant on customers for its waste steam output, which would make the CHP part of the application very doubtful. Surely without CHP the application does not meet the criteria for consent for an incinerator of this size?

MVV have stated in their 'draft decision document' published 22 Jan '24 (p16) that 'Several potential primary heat consumers have been identified in the CHP connection corridor, including food/pet food manufacturers and packaging manufacturing. The applicant has identified a potential heat load demand of 25.61MWth within 5km of the facility'.

The applicant is still saying 'identified' not 'signed contracts with', and 'potential' not 'definite'. Several local companies named by the applicant have indicated that they are not interested in purchasing the applicant's steam. The Sec of State should be told by the applicant whether these are definite customers (or just wishful thinking by the applicant).

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours faithfully  
Diana Mutimer  
Interested Party 20032734